

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/681,418	SHEPARD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	LAWRENCE E. CRANE	1623	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) LAWRENCE E. CRANE.

(2) Antoinette Koski.

**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

63

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant and examiner agreed on minor amendments to address an error in the disclosure and a necessary change to a claim necessitated by an amendment filed under 37 CFR 1.312 and approved.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

**Status of Application:** Rule 312 amendment filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)